



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,093	12/12/2000	David Blanet	061607-1610	5336
24504	7590	05/06/2005	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			WONG, BLANCHE	
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STE 1750				
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			2667	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/735,093	BLANSET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Blanche Wong	2667	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 November 2004.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 11-37 and 45-64 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 38-44 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - In the amended paragraph starting on p.8, ln.8 (Response, p.3, para. 3), -- a hub [[42]]41 – should read “a network hub 41”.
 - In the amended paragraph starting on p.11, ln.23 (Response, p.6, para. 1), service provider network 32 is not found in FIG. 3.
 - In the amended paragraph starting on p.17, ln.14 (Response, p.9, para. 4), -- Cross-connect table – should read “Cross-connection table”.Appropriate correction is required.
2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses claim language, such as -- means --. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation c should have the word “and” after the semicolon, to proceed to the last limitation d. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
5. **Claims 1-10 and 38-44** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2667

In cl. 1, it is unclear whether the means for automatically configuring of ln. 6 is the same as the multiplexer for automatically configuring of cl. 3.

In cl. 38, it is unclear whether the means for automatically configuring of ln. 4 is the same as the switch for automatically configuring of cl. 39.

6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

- Claim 3 recites the limitation "the plurality of data communications channels" in ln. 4-5 and "the plurality of digital subscriber line communications channels" in ln. 8-9.
- Claim 39 recites the limitation "the plurality of network-side communications channels" in ln. 3-4 and ln. 11 and "the plurality of user-side communications channels" in ln. 7-8 and ln. 12.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed November 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to claims 1,2,21,38,52, Applicant contends these claims are made allowable by 1.) amending these rejected claims as dependent claims under a previous claim with allowable subject matter, and 2.) making a previous claim with allowable subject matter an independent claim.

In response, Examiner points out that a previous dependent claim with allowable subject matter means that this claim has all the limitations of the rejected base and intervening claims, in addition to the allowable subject matter. Amending rejected base and intervening claims into dependent form does not necessarily make the rejected claims allowable. See cl. 1,2,38. However, amending rejected base and intervening

claims into dependent form under a previously allowed claim, would make the rejected claim allowable. See cl. 21 and 52.

The new claims 65 and 66 are dependent claims of previously allowed claims.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BW

BW
April 20, 2005

Chau T. Nguyen
CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600